NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 13 2005

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MARIA ANGELICA ATRIXCO MOCTEZUMA,

Petitioner,

V.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-75965

Agency No. A95-301-992

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 5, 2005**

Before: GOODWIN, TASHIMA, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Maria Angelica Atrixco Moctezuma, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' summary affirmance of an immigration judge's denial of her applications for asylum,

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review constitutional issues de novo. *See Ram v. INS*, 243 F.3d 510, 516 (9th Cir. 2001). We deny the petition for review.

Moctezuma's sole contention to this Court is that she was denied equal protection because she was not allowed to apply for suspension of deportation. This contention is without merit. Congress comported with equal protection when it repealed suspension of deportation for aliens, such as Moctezuma, who were placed in removal proceedings on or after April 1, 1997, while permitting aliens placed in deportation before that date to maintain their applications for suspension of deportation. *See Vasquez-Zavala v. Ashcroft*, 324 F.3d 1105, 1108 (9th Cir. 2003); *Hernandez-Mezquita v. Ashcroft*, 293 F.3d 1161, 1163-65 (9th Cir. 2002).

The voluntary departure period was stayed, and that stay will expire upon issuance of the mandate. *See Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741, 750 (9th Cir. 2004).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.